## **BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

## INTER-OFFICE MEMORANDUM

To:

Members of the Board of County Commissioners

From:

Chairman Tony Grippa 18

Date:

October 7, 2003

Subject:

Evaluation and Merit Increase for the County Administrator

Pursuant to the authority granted to the Chairman by the Employment Agreement with the County Administrator dated July 23, 2003, and in accord with the Board's Policy No. 00-6, I have had the opportunity to review and evaluate the County Administrator, Parwez Alam's performance during the fiscal year October 2002 through September 2003.

After having considered the evaluations of the Leon County Commissioners who submitted such evaluations of Mr. Alam, it is my belief that the County Administrator is qualified for a significant merit increase as a result of said evaluations.

Although there is no particular practice for the calculation of said merit increase, I have decided that each of the submitted evaluations would be considered as part of an overall score. I have added the evaluation points assigned by individual commissioners, divided by the total available points, and prorated on a 0 to 8 scale, so that the merit increase is granted in accord with the contract. After having so calculated each of the scores it is my decision that the County Administrator qualifies for a pay raise of 7.9 % as a merit increase, effective October 1, 2003.

The County Administrator and I have discussed each of the evaluations, including my own, and he has concurred in the recommendations set forth herein. This matter will be placed on the Board's agenda for October 14, 2003 meeting.

cc:

Parwez Alam

## Calculation For County Administrator Scores

Evaluation	Total Possible	Chairman	Commissioner	roministro C					
Criteria	Points	Grippa	Thaell	Maloy	Thaell Maloy Proctor Sauls Winchester	Commissioner Sauls	Commissioner Winchester	Commissioner Rackleff	Commissioner Totals
Personal Characteristics	40	40	88	40		6	5	04	238
Professional Skills	40	9	34	40		04	5	9	234
Relations With the Board	40	4	40	9		64	9	. 04	240
Community / Intergovernmental Relations	40	6	37	04		04	04	· 6	237
Employee Relations / Staffing	40	36	37	89		9	. 04	; 6	) F
Organizational Management / Departmental Performance	40	9	9	9		86	6	. 6	239
Fiscal Management	40	9	38	36		6	- 04	. 6	235
Policy Execution	40	88	4	40		6	4	40	338
Communications / Reporting	40	6	4	4		37	: %	9 0	XX
Management Style	4	9	4	86		9	; Ç	2 9	3 6
Total Points	8					}	?	3	<b>,</b> 62
	=	) ks	382	396		396	399	400	2370
Total Number of Evaluators	9								
Total Positible Points	2400								
% of Total Possible Points Received	98.75%								
Maximum Possible % Raise	8%								
Evaluation % applied to Maximum Possible % Raise	7.90%								